



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,641	10/01/2003	Steven F. Borsand	03-901	7876
39310	7590	05/14/2009	EXAMINER	
MBHB/TRADEING TECHNOLOGIES			KANERVO, VIRPI H	
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE				
SUITE 3200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2009	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/676,641	BORSAND, STEVEN F.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	VIRPI H. KANERVO	3691	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) VIRPI H. KANERVO. (3) ADAM FAIER.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 May 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: ALL.

Identification of prior art discussed: Argust (2003/0009387 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's representative discussed the inventive feature of the instant application, and how the claim language may be distinguished from Argust reference. Examiner reserves the right to conduct a new prior art search after Applicant's formal submission of amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Alexander Kalinowski/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691
--	--